## Performance Indicators as at September 2013 (Quarter 1 of 2013/14 Plan)

| Efficiency                    | Objective: To provide maximum assurance to inform the annual audit opinion |                        |                                    |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| KPI                           | Measure of Assessment  | Target & (Frequency of | Actual                             |
|                               |  | Measurement)           |                                    |
| Planned audits completed      | % of planned assurance work from original approved plan                    | 90%                    | 23%                                |
|                               | complete to draft report stage   | Annually               |                                    |
| Timeliness of Draft Reports   | % of draft reports issued within 30 Calendar days of end                   | 90%                    | 86.4% (19 out of 22)               |
|                               | of fieldwork/closure interview   | (Quarterly)            | 19 days on average                 |
| Timeliness of Final Reports   | % of final reports issued within 14 calendar days of receipt               | 95%                    | 100% - 4 days on average           |
|                               | of management response   | (Quarterly)            |                                    |
| Quarterly Progress Reports    | Quarterly progress reports issued to Corporate Directors                   | 100%                   | 100%                               |
|                               | within one month of end of period  | (Quarterly)            |                                    |
| Terms of Reference            | % of TOR's agreed with key contact in advance of                           | 95% (Quarterly)        | 92.3%                              |
|                               | fieldwork commencing   |                        |                                    |
| Quality                       | Objective: To ensure that the service is effective and adding value        |                        |                                    |
| KPI                           | Measure of Assessment  | Target & (Frequency of |                                    |
|                               |  | Measurement)           |                                    |
| Recommendations agreed        | % of Recommendations made compared with                                    | 95%                    | 97.6%                              |
|                               | recommendations accepted   | (Quarterly)            |                                    |
| Post Audit Customer           | % of customers scoring audit service good or above (4 out                  | 100%                   | 100% - Average score 4.40          |
| Satisfaction Survey Feedback  | of 5) where 1 is poor and 5 is very good                                   | (Quarterly)            |                                    |
| Customers providing feedback  | % of Customer returning satisfaction returns                               | 70%                    | 23% - only 4 of the 17 issued this |
| Response                      |  | (Quarterly)            | quarter returned                   |
| Cost                          | Objective: To ensure that the service is cost effective                    |                        |                                    |
| KPI                           | Measure of Assessment  | Target & (Frequency of |                                    |
|                               |  | Measurement)           |                                    |
| Cost per chargeable audit day | CIPFA Benchmarking Club – Comparator Group (Unitary)                       | Lower than average     | Not yet known                      |
|                               | Available June 2014  | (Annually)             |                                    |